arXiv:1402.0369v2 [math.ST] 2 Apr 2014

WEIGHTED QUANTILE CORRELATION TEST FOR THE LOGISTIC FAMILY

FERENC BALOGH AND ÉVA KRAUCZI

Abstract. We summarize the results of investigating the asymptotic behavior of the weighted quantile correlation tests for the location-scale family associated to the logistic distribution. Explicit representations of the limiting distribution are given in terms of integrals of weighted Brownian bridges or alternatively as infinite series of independent Gaussian random variables. The power of this test and the test for the location logistic family against some alternatives are demonstrated by numerical simulations.

1. Introduction

The logistic distribution

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\ , \tag{1}$$

as the logistic growth curve was introduced in the mid-nineteenth century by Verhulst in his population dynamics study [17]. The first purely statistical interpretation of the logistic distribution was found by Gumbel [15] in 1944 who showed that it is the asymptotic distribution of the midrange of random samples from symmetric continuous distributions. Balakrishnan devoted a book to the logistic distribution [19], including goodness-of-fit tests. The routine goodness-of-fit techniques are presented: chi-squared tests, EDF statistics and tests based on regression and correlation. More tests on assessing the fit to the logistic distribution may be found in Aguirre and Nikulin [2] and Meintanis [16]. The main goal of the present paper is to introduce weighted quantile correlation tests for the location and the location-scale logistic families, introduced below.

The quantile correlation test statistics for goodness-of-fit to a family of probability distributions based on the L_2-Wasserstein distance were introduced by del Barrio, Cuesta-Albertos, Matrán and Rodríguez-Rodríguez in [14], considering goodness-of-fit tests to the normal family, and del Barrio, Cuesta-Albertos and Matrán in [13]. The asymptotic distributions of the test statistics are expressed in terms of the Karhunen-Loève expansion of some associated weighted Brownian bridges.

The use of weight functions in the test statistics were independently suggested by de Wet in [9] and [10] and by Csörgő in [5] and [6]. Csörgő and Szabó introduced the new tests for several families of probability distributions in [7] and [8].

In this paper we use the same technique to obtain limiting distributions for the weighted quantile correlation tests for the logistic family, using the known Karhunen-Loève expansion of the stochastic process

$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} B(t) \qquad 0 < t < 1\ , \tag{2}$$

Date: April 3, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62F05, 60G15, 62Q05.

Key words and phrases. Correlation test, Karhunen-Loève expansion, power study, simulation, test of Logistic distribution, Wasserstein distance.

where $B(t)$ is the Brownian bridge on $[0,1]$ (see [3]).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce weighted quantile correlation test statistics in detail and recall some earlier results on their limiting distributions. Section 3 specializes the location-scale statistic for the logistic family, and the asymptotic distribution of the test statistic is given Theorem 1. In Section 4 a different representation of the above limiting distribution is obtained in Theorem 2, given in terms of an infinite series of independent Gaussian random variables. Section 5 contains a simulation study to evaluate the power of this test and the test for the location logistic family.

2. Weighted quantile correlation tests and their asymptotics

Given a random sample $X_1, \dots, X_n$ with common distribution function $F(x) = P(X \le x)$ on the real line $\mathbb{R}$, with the pertaining order statistics $X_{1,n} \le X_{2,n} \le \cdots \le X_{n,n}$, let

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I\{X_k \le x\} \ , \qquad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}$$

be the empirical distribution function and let

$$Q_n(t) = X_{k,n} \text{ if } (k-1)/n < t \le k/n \ , \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{4}$$

be the sample quantile function. For a given distribution function $G(x)$, and for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, let $G_\sigma^\theta(x) = G((x-\theta)/\sigma)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the location-scale and location families

$$\mathcal{G}_{l,s} = \{G_\sigma^\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0\} \ , \qquad \mathcal{G}_l = \{G_1^\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \ . \tag{5}$$

Denote by $Q_G(t) = G^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G(x) \ge t\}, 0 < t < 1$, the quantile function of G. Consider a weight function $w \ : \ (0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying $\int_0^1 w(t)\mathrm{d}t = 1$. Assume that the weighted second moment

$$\mu_2(G,w) := \int_0^1 Q_G^2(t) w(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 w(G(x)) \mathrm{d}G(x) < \infty \tag{6}$$

and the corresponding first moment

$$\mu_1(G,w) := \int_0^1 Q_G(t) w(t) \mathrm{d}t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x w(G(x)) \mathrm{d}G(x) \tag{7}$$

are also finite, as well as the generated variance

$$\nu(G,w) := \mu_2(G,w) - \mu_1^2(G,w) > 0 \ . \tag{8}$$

The weighted L_2-Wasserstein distance with weight function w of two distributions F and G can be defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_w(F,G) := \left[\int_0^1 \left(Q_F(t) - Q_G(t)\right)^2 w(t) \mathrm{d}t \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \ . \tag{9}$$

Therefore the weighted L_2-Wasserstein distance $\mathcal{W}_w(F, \mathcal{G}_l) = \inf\{\mathcal{W}_w(F,G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_l\}$ between F and the location family $\mathcal{G}_l$ is

$$\mathcal{W}_w^2(F, \mathcal{G}_l) = \int_0^1 \left(Q_F(t) - Q_G(t)\right)^2 w(t) \mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 \left(Q_F(t) - Q_G(t)\right) w(t) \mathrm{d}t \right]^2 \tag{10}$$

and the weighted L_2-Wasserstein distance $\mathcal{W}_w(F, \mathcal{G}_{l,s}) = \inf\{\mathcal{W}_w(F, G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_{l,s}\}$ between F and location-scale family $\mathcal{G}_{l,s}$, scaled to F is

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_w^2(F, \mathcal{G}_{l,s})}{\nu(F, w)} = 1 - \frac{\left[\int_0^1 Q_F(t)Q_G(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \mu_1(F, w)\mu_1(G, w)\right]^2}{\nu(F, w)\nu(G, w)}, \tag{11}$$

as derived in [6].

Then the location- and scale-free test statistic for the null-hypothesis $H_0 : F \in \mathcal{G}_{l,s}$ is

$$V_n = 1 - \frac{\left[\int_0^1 Q_n(t)Q_G(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \mu_1(G, w)\int_0^1 Q_n(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2}{\nu(G, w)\left[\int_0^1 Q_n^2(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left(\int_0^1 Q_n(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^2\right]} \tag{12}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\left[\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}\left\{\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} Q_G(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \mu_1(G, w)\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right\}\right]^2}{\nu(G, w)\left[\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}^2\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^2\right]} \tag{13}$$

and the location-free test statistic for the null-hypothesis $H_0 : F \in \mathcal{G}_l$ is

$$W_n = \int_0^1 \{Q_n(t) - Q_G(t)\}^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 \{Q_n(t) - Q_G(t)\} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2 \tag{14}$$

$$= \nu(G, w) + \sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}^2\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left[\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2 \tag{15}$$

$$-2\sum_{k=1}^n X_{k,n}\left\{\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} Q_G(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \mu_1(G, w)\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right\}.$$

Understanding asymptotic relations as $n \to \infty$ throughout this note, the symbols $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\xrightarrow{P}$ denote convergence in distribution and in probability, respectively.

For the endpoints of the support of G, introduce

$$-\infty \le a_G = \sup\{x : G(x) = 0\} < \inf\{x : G(X) = 1\} = b_G \le \infty\ . \tag{16}$$

Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_{1,n} \le Y_{2,n} \le \cdots \le Y_{n,n}$ denote the order statistics of a sample $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ from G.

The asymptotic distributions of V_n and W_n are of main practical interest for the statistician; to determine the limiting behaviour of these statistics, below we use the following general result due to Csörgő.

Theorem (Csörgő [6])**.** *Let $w(\cdot)$ be a nonnegative integrable function on the interval $(0, 1)$, for which $\int_0^1 w(t)\mathrm{d}t = 1$. Suppose that G has finite weighted second moment and that it is twice differentiable on the open interval (a_G, b_G) such that $g(x) = G'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (a_G, b_G)$. If the conditions*

$$\sup_{0<t<1} \frac{t(1-t)|g'(Q_G(t))|}{g^2(Q_G(t))} < \infty\ , \tag{17}$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{g^2(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty\ , \tag{18}$$

and

$$n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left[Y_{1,n} - Q_G(t)\right]^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t \xrightarrow{P} 0\,, \tag{19}$$

$$n\int_{\frac{n}{n+1}}^1 \left[Y_{n,n} - Q_G(t)\right]^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t \xrightarrow{P} 0\,, \tag{20}$$

are satisfied, the following asymptotics are valid:

(1) *If F belong to $\mathcal{G}_l$ generated by G, then*

$$nW_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W\,, \tag{21}$$

where

$$W = \int_0^1 \frac{B^2(t)}{g^2(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 \frac{B(t)}{g(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\,, \tag{22}$$

for the location family, and

(2) *if F belong to $\mathcal{G}_{l,s}$ generated by G, then*

$$nV_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} V\,, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V = \frac{1}{\nu(G,w)} &\left\{\int_0^1 \frac{B^2(t)}{g^2(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 \frac{B(t)}{g(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\right\} \\ &- \left[\frac{1}{\nu(G,w)}\int_0^1 \frac{B(t)Q_G(t)}{g(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t - \frac{\mu_1(G,w)}{\nu(G,w)}\int_0^1 \frac{B(t)}{g(Q_G(t))} w(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for the location-scale family.

This theorem will be used in the next section to establish the asymptotic distributions of the test statistics specialized to the logistic families.

3. Tests for the logistic families and their asymptotics

Consider the logistic distribution function (1) with density function

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\,, \tag{25}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_{l,s}$ denotes the logistic location-scale family and $\mathcal{G}_l$ denotes the logistic location family as defined above. The corresponding quantile function is

$$Q_G(u) = \ln\frac{u}{1-u} \qquad 0 < u < 1\,. \tag{26}$$

For the logistic location family $\mathcal{G}_l$ de Wet suggested in [10] the use of the weight function $w(t) = \frac{L_1'(Q_G(t))}{I_1}$ is obtained, where $L_1(x) = \frac{-g'(x)}{g(x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_1'(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x$, which gives

$$w(t) = 6t(1-t) \qquad 0 < t < 1\,. \tag{27}$$

Note that de Wet proposes different weight functions for the logistic location and logistic scale families. The goal of this paper is to assess the use of the weight function (27) for the combined logistic location-scale family.

Using integration by substitution and $\int_0^1 \frac{\ln t}{t-1}\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, we obtain

$$\mu_1(G,w) = \int_0^1 6t(1-t)\ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\mu_2(G,w) = \int_0^1 6t(1-t)\ln^2\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2\ . \tag{29}$$

The above introduced location-scale-free test statistic specializes to

$$V_n = 1 - \frac{\left[\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,n}X_{k,n}\right]^2}{\left(\frac{\pi^2}{3}-2\right)\left[\sum_{k=1}^n b_{k,n}X_{k,n}^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{k,n}X_{k,n}\right)^2\right]}\ , \tag{30}$$

where the coefficients are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,n} &= \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} 6t(1-t)\ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{k^2(3n-2k)}{n^3}\ln\frac{k}{n-k} - \frac{(k-1)^2(3n-2k+2)}{n^3}\ln\frac{k-1}{n-k+1} \\ &\quad + \ln\frac{n-k}{n-k+1} + \frac{1-2k}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$b_{k,n} = \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} 6t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t = \frac{3(2k-1)}{n^2} + \frac{2(-3k^2+3k-1)}{n^3}\ . \tag{32}$$

Remark 1. *Note that the location-free test statistic is*

$$W_n = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3}-2\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{k,n}X_{k,n}^2 - \left[\sum_{k=1}^n b_{k,n}X_{k,n}\right]^2 - 2\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,n}X_{k,n}\ , \tag{33}$$

(see [10]*).*

As a corollary to the asymptotic results from [6] we obtain the following limiting distribution of the test statistics V_n.

Theorem 1. *If the distribution function F of the sample belongs to the logistic location-scale family $\mathcal{G}_{l,s}$ then the rescaled statistic nV_n has the asymptotic distribution*

$$nV_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} V\ , \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V = \frac{1}{\frac{\pi^2}{3}-2}&\left\{\int_0^1 \frac{6B^2(t)}{t(1-t)}\mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 6B(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\right\} \\ &- \left[\frac{1}{\frac{\pi^2}{3}-2}\int_0^1 6B(t)\ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\ , \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the integrals exists with probability 1 *and $B(\cdot)$ denotes a standard Brownian bridge.*

Remark 2. *If $F \in \mathcal{G}_l$ the theorem of Csörgő gives*

$$nW_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W\,, \tag{36}$$

where

$$W = \int_0^1 \frac{6B^2(t)}{t(1-t)}\mathrm{d}t - \left[\int_0^1 6B(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2\,, \tag{37}$$

in agreement with [10].

To proceed with the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The asymptotic relation*

$$n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln^k\left(n\frac{t}{1-t}\right) t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t = (-1)^k\frac{k!}{2^{k+1}}\frac{1}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \tag{38}$$

holds for all integers $k \geq 0$.

The proof of this statement is postponed to the Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 1. In order to prove the above convergence results, we need to verify the conditions (17) – (20). Since

$$g(Q_G(t)) = t(1-t)\,, \qquad g'(Q_G(t)) = t(1-t)(1-2t)\,, \tag{39}$$

conditions (17) and (18) are satisfied.To conclude the proof we need to show that

$$M_{1,n} := n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left[Y_{1,n} - \ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\right]^2 6t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t \xrightarrow{P} 0\,,$$

and

$$M_{n,n} := n\int_{\frac{n}{n+1}}^1 \left[Y_{n,n} - \ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\right]^2 6t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t \xrightarrow{P} 0\,,$$

where $Y_{1,n} \leq Y_{2,n} \leq \cdots \leq Y_{n,n}$ is the order statistics from G.

An elementary calculation shows that the sequence of random variables

$$A_n := Y_{1,n} + \ln n \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{40}$$

converges in distribution to Y^*, where

$$P(Y^* \leq x) = 1 - e^{-e^x}\,. \tag{41}$$

Therefore A_n is stochastically bounded. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,n} &= n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left[Y_{1,n} + \ln n - \left(\ln n + \ln\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\right]^2 6t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t \qquad (42)\\ &= 6A_n^2 n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} t(1-t)dt - 12A_n n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln\left(n\frac{t}{1-t}\right) t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad + 6n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln^2\left(n\frac{t}{1-t}\right) t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= A_n^2\left(\frac{3}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right) + A_n\left(\frac{3}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right) + \frac{3}{2n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\,, \qquad (43) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1, which shows that $M_{1,n}$ converges to 0 in probability.

Similarly,

$$B_n := Y_{n,n} - \ln n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y^{**}\,, \tag{44}$$

where $P(Y^{**} \leq x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$, thus B_n is stochastically bounded. Notice that by the substitution $t \to 1-t$,

$$n \int_{\frac{n}{n+1}}^{1} \ln^k \left(n \frac{1-t}{t} \right) t(1-t) \mathrm{d}t = (-1)^k n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln^k \left(n \frac{t}{1-t} \right) t(1-t) \mathrm{d}t \ .$$

As above, we have

$$M_{n,n} = n \int_{\frac{n}{n+1}}^{1} \left[Y_{n,n} - \ln n + \left(\ln n - \ln \frac{t}{1-t} \right) \right]^2 6t(1-t) \mathrm{d}t \tag{45}$$

$$= B_n^2 \left(\frac{3}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) - B_n \left(\frac{3}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) + \frac{3}{2n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \ , \tag{46}$$

and therefore $M_{n,n}$ converges to 0 in probability also, that concludes the proof. □

4. Infinite series representations of the limiting distributions

The integral representations (35) of the limiting distribution suggest that the Karhunen-Loève expansion of the weighted Brownian bridge (2), once calculated, can be used to obtain an infinite series representation of the asymptotic distribution (see e.g. [4]).

Note that the covariance function

$$K(s,t) := \mathrm{Cov}(Z(s), Z(t)) = \frac{\min(s,t) - st}{\sqrt{t(1-t)s(1-s)}} \tag{47}$$

of the process $Z(t)$ belongs to $L^2\left((0,1)^2\right)$, but it is not continuous on the closed unit square $[0,1]^2$. A suitable extension to the standard results on integral operators with continuous kernels is employed in [3] to treat the integral kernel (47) as a special example (for a more general setting, compare with [12]). It is shown in [3] that the stochastic process

$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} B(t) \qquad 0 < t < 1 \tag{48}$$

admits the Karhunen-Loève expansion

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} Z_k f_k(t) \ , \tag{49}$$

where the normalized eigenfunctions $f(t) = f_k(t)$ can be written in the form

$$f(t) = \frac{y(t)}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \ , \tag{50}$$

where $y(t)$ solves the differential equation

$$y''(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{t(1-t)} y(t) = 0 \tag{51}$$

with boundary conditions

$$y(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(1) = 0 \ , \tag{52}$$

corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda = \lambda_k$ of the associated integral operator. The random coefficients are

$$Z_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \int_0^1 \frac{B(t)}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} f_k(t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad k = 1, 2 \dots \ . \tag{53}$$

By substituting $t = \frac{x+1}{2}$ and $u(x) = y(\frac{x+1}{2})$, the differential equation (51) is brought to

$$u''(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{1}{1-x^2}u(x) = 0 \qquad -1 < x < 1 \ , \tag{54}$$

which is of the form of the *Jacobi equation* with parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, and the boundary conditions

$$u(-1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(1) = 0 \tag{55}$$

restrict the values of λ to be

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{56}$$

(see [1], 22.6.2). The full set of solutions to the boundary-value problem (54)-(55) can be written in terms of the *Jacobi orthogonal polynomials*[1] $P_k^{(1,1)}(x)$ (see [1], 22.6.2). Therefore the original boundary-value problem (51)-(52) gives the eigenfunctions

$$f_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{(2k+1)(k+1)}{k}} P_{k-1}^{(1,1)}(2t-1)\sqrt{t(1-t)} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{57}$$

associated to the eigenvalues (56). The normalization in (57) is chosen so that

$$\int_0^1 f_k(t) f_l(t) \mathrm{d}t = \delta_{kl} \qquad k, l = 1, 2, \dots \tag{58}$$

(see [1], 22.2.1).

Since $Z(t)$ is a Gaussian process and

$$E(Z_n Z_m) = \delta_{n,m} \qquad n, m = 1, 2, \dots \tag{59}$$

it follows that the variables Z_n are independent standard normal random variables.

Given the Karhunen-Loève expansion of the weighted Brownian bridge (2), the integral representation (35) of the limiting distribution V possesses the following infinite series representation.

Theorem 2. *The limiting distribution V can be represented alternatively as*

$$V \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \frac{1}{\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{6}{k(k+1)} Z_m^2 \ - \left[\frac{1}{\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{3\sqrt{4l+1}}{l(l+1)(2l-1)(2l+1)} Z_{2l} \right]^2 , \tag{60}$$

where $\{Z_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an infinite sequence of independent identically distributed standard normal random variables, and the series converges with probability one.

Remark 3. *The integral representation* (37) *of W for the logistic location family implies the series expansion*

$$W \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{6}{k(k+1)} Z_k^2 \ , \tag{61}$$

where $\{Z_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an infinite sequence of independent identically distributed standard normal random variables, and the series converges with probability one, as shown in [10].

We need the following lemma to determine the coefficients in the infinite series representations of V.

[1] In [3], the normalized eigenfunctions are written in terms of the *Ferrer associated Legendre polynomials* $P_k^1(x)$ (see [18], p. 323); for our purposes it is more convenient to express them through the Jacobi polynomials $P_k^{(1,1)}(x)$, as suggested in [11].

Lemma 2. *The following formula is valid:*

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P_n^{(1,1)}(x)(1-x^2)\ln\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)dx = \begin{cases} \dfrac{8}{(2k+1)(2k+3)(k+2)} & n=2k+1 \\ 0 & n=2k\ . \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

Since the proof of this lemma is quite technical, it is left to the Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 2. The Karhunen-Loève expansion (49) can be used to evaluate the integrals in (37) in terms of the random coefficients Z_k, as shown below.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{B^2(t)}{t(1-t)}\mathrm{d}t = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_k\lambda_l}\int_0^1 Z_k Z_l f_k(t) f_l(t)\mathrm{d}t \tag{63}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k(k+1)}Z_k^2\ . \tag{64}$$

Since $f_1(t) = \sqrt{6}\sqrt{t(1-t)}$, we have

$$\left[\int_0^1 B(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2 = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_k}Z_k\int_0^1 f_k(t)\sqrt{t(1-t)}\mathrm{d}t\right]^2 \tag{65}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_k}Z_k\int_0^1 f_k(t)f_1(t)\mathrm{d}t\right]^2 \tag{66}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1 Z_1^2\ . \tag{67}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 B(t)\ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_k}Z_k\int_0^1 f_k(t)\sqrt{t(1-t)}\ln\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\mathrm{d}t \tag{68}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\lambda_k}Z_k\sqrt{\frac{(2k+1)(k+1)}{k}}\frac{1}{8}\int_{-1}^{1} P_{k-1}^{(1,1)}(x)(1-x^2)\ln\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)\mathrm{d}x \tag{69}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sqrt{4l+1}}{2l(l+1)(2l-1)(2l+1)}Z_{2l}\ , \tag{70}$$

where, in the last equality, we have used Lemma 2. Combining the above results with the appropriate constant coefficients, the infinite series representation (60) for the distribution of V follows. □

5. Performance of tests

5.1. **The asymptotic distributions and the distributions of the tests nV_n and nW_n.** The distribution functions of the limiting random variables above are computed numerically by simulation, using their infinite series representations. We generated 200 000 copies of the random variable W and V, and we computed numerically their empirical distribution function H_l and $H_{l,s}$, respectively, each time truncating the series at 10 000. The parameters were chosen such that the values of H_l and $H_{l,s}$ be the same to two decimal places for different samples, respectively. The asymptotic distributions are shown in Fig. 1.

Next, using different sample sizes from $n = 20$ to $n = 500$, we simulate the empirical distribution function of the test statistics nW_n and nV_n, respectively. This was done using 200 000 repetitions. As shown in Fig. 2, we find that the convergence is very fast overall.

Table 1 shows in detail the empirical critical values of nW_n and nV_n corresponding to the confidence levels $0.85, 0.90, 0.95$ and 0.99 respectively. The last row corresponding to $n = \infty$ contains empirical asymptotic critical values for both tests.

Because of the speed of convergence the asymptotic critical values can be used. In the next section we calculate the power of the tests against some alternatives with finite critical values due to the similarity of the values.

5.2. **Power of the tests nV_n and nW_n.** A simulation study was performed to evaluate the power of the tests. In the simulation study we consider some continuous alternative distributions. All alternative distributions are identified by their names and are in their standard forms. We give the definition for most of these distributions. Let Z denote a standard normal random variable.

(1) $Beta(p, q)$ denotes the Beta distribution with density
$f(t) = \Gamma(p+q)t^{p-1}(1-t)^{q-1}/\left(\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)\right),\ 0 < t < 1,\ p, q > 0.$
(2) The density of the *Laplace* distribution is $f(t) = e^{-|t|/2},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$.



FIGURE 1. The empirical distribution function of W (left) and V (right).



FIGURE 2. The empirical distribution functions of the test statistics nW_n(on the left side) and nV_n(on the right side) for $n = 20$ (dotted line) and the empirical asymptotic distribution functions W(on the left side) and V(on the right side)(thicker line).

(3) The distribution *Lognormal* denotes the distribution of the random variable e^Z.
(4) Two triangle distributions with densities $f(t) = 1 - |t|,\ -1 < t < 1$, and $f(t) = 2 - 2t,\ 0 < t < 1$, are denoted, respectively, by $Triangle(I)$ and $Triangle(II)$.
(5) The density of the $Weibull(k)$ distribution is $f(t) = kt^{k-1}e^{-t^k},\ t > 0,\ k > 0$.

For two tests and sample sizes we use the simulated, finite critical points. The empirical powers were derived from 200 000 simulations for all the sample sizes $n = 20, 50$ and 100 for two tests. See the details in Table 2.

We compare the new test in the location-scale case with Meintanis tests based on the empirical characteristic function and the empirical momentum generating function from [16]. To the comparison Table 3 from [16] is used. This table next to the power of Meintanis tests contains the power of the classical EDF-tests (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Cramér-von Mises, Anderson-Darling, Watson) for $n = 20$ and 50 and significance level $\alpha = 0.1$. In each test

TABLE 1. Critical points of the test statistics nW_n and nV_n for different sample sizes and different confidence levels.

	nW_n					nV_n			
n	0.85	0.90	0.95	0.99	n	0.85	0.90	0.95	0.99
20	4.60	5.43	7.00	11.40	20	2.07	2.34	2.83	4.02
50	4.52	5.25	6.66	10.76	50	2.21	2.49	2.99	4.17
100	4.49	5.20	6.50	10.40	100	2.24	2.52	2.99	4.13
200	4.48	5.15	6.39	9.87	200	2.24	2.52	2.99	4.14
500	4.47	5.13	6.31	9.39	500	2.23	2.51	2.97	4.06
∞	4.47	5.12	6.26	8.98	∞	2.22	2.49	2.95	4.02

TABLE 2. Empirical powers (in %) for nW_n and nV_n against some alternatives ($n = 20, 50$ and 100 sample sizes, $*$ 100% empirical power, α significance level).

	nW_n			nW_n			nV_n			nV_n		
Alternatives	20	50	100	20	50	100	20	50	100	20	50	100
$N(0,1)$	47	99	*	22	96	*	5	6	8	2	2	4
Uniform	*	*	*	*	*	*	13	47	93	5	29	82
Cauchy	88	99	*	84	99	*	88	99	*	84	99	*
Laplace	27	76	97	12	61	93	26	39	55	17	29	43
Exp(1)	88	*	*	69	*	*	70	99	*	56	97	*
Triangle(I)	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	7	13	2	3	6
Triangle(II)	*	*	*	*	*	*	21	61	97	11	43	91
Beta(2,2)	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	15	40	2	7	24
Weibull(2)	*	*	*	*	*	*	12	25	54	5	15	38
Gamma(2,1)	25	83	*	10	62	99	40	81	99	27	69	98
Lognormal	80	*	*	61	*	*	86	*	*	79	*	*
Student(5)	27	82	99	11	67	98	16	19	21	10	12	13
$\chi^2(1)$	88	*	*	71	*	*	94	*	*	88	*	*
Negativ Exp	88	*	*	69	*	*	69	99	*	56	97	*
α	0.10			0.05			0.10			0.05		

in [16] the location and scale parameter are estimated by method of moments or maximum likelihood, hereby these tests are adapted to test for composite hypothesis. The location and scale test considered in this paper has the greatest power against Cauchy and Laplace alternatives. The EDF-tests have greater power against Cauchy and Laplace alternatives than Meintanis tests, otherwise the best test is the Meintanis test and our test is the least powerful.

If we test for Logistic location family, we obtain better power than for location-scale family, except against gamma, lognormal and $\chi^2(1)$ alternatives.

A rough general conclusion of this study is that in both cases simply computable test statistics are obtained and the asymptotic critical values may be used. For the Logistic location family the test considered is fairly strong, while for the Logistic location-scale family it seems to be less powerful.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to S. Csörgő for suggesting the problem and to G. Pap for useful comments and suggestions after carefully reading the manuscript.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 1

Proof of Lemma 1. The substitution $x = \frac{t}{1-t}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln^k\left(n\frac{t}{1-t}\right) t(1-t)\mathrm{d}t &= n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \ln^k(nx)\frac{x}{(1+x)^4}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\int_0^1 \ln^k y \frac{y}{(1+\frac{y}{n})^4}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\int_0^1 y\ln^k y\mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{n}\int_0^1 y\ln^k y\frac{(1-(1+\frac{y}{n})^4)}{(1+\frac{y}{n})^4}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\int_0^1 y\ln^k y\mathrm{d}y + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 y\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 y\ln^k y\mathrm{d}y = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left[\frac{y^2}{2}\ln^k y\right]_\varepsilon^1 - \frac{k}{2}\int_0^1 y\ln^{k-1} y\mathrm{d}y = -\frac{k}{2}\int_0^1 y\ln^{k-1} y\mathrm{d}y ,$$

the exact form of the leading coefficient follows. □

Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 2

The Jacobi polynomials with parameters $(1,1)$ have the following generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(1,1)}(x)z^n = \frac{4}{R(1-z+R)(1+z+R)} , \tag{71}$$

where

$$R = \sqrt{1-2zx+z^2}$$

(see [1], Table 22.9). For fixed $|z| < 1$ the only branch point of the square root R, as a function of x, is located at

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{2}\left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right) \ . \tag{72}$$

From elementary conformal mapping it is obvious that $x_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ as long as $|z| < 1$. Therefore there is a unique choice of the branch of R on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\sqrt{1 - 2zx + z^2}\Big|_{x=1} = 1 - z \ . \tag{73}$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Consider the function

$$f(x) = (1 - x^2) \ln\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) \qquad -1 \le x \le 1 \ . \tag{74}$$

It is easy to see that $|f(x)| < 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ (the exact upper bound is irrelevant for our purposes). Consider the integrals

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^{1} P_n^{(1,1)}(x) f(x) \mathrm{d}x \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{75}$$

and their (formal) generating function

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \ . \tag{76}$$

Since

$$\left|P_n^{(1,1)}(x)\right| \le n + 1 \qquad -1 \le x \le 1 \ ,$$

we have $|a_n| \le 2(n + 1)$ and therefore the power series of $g(z)$ converges absolutely and uniformly in the interior of the unit disk $|z| < 1$. Therefore, for any fixed $|z| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{1} P_n^{(1,1)}(x) z^n f(x) \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(1,1)}(x) z^n f(x) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{4}{R(1 - z + R)(1 + z + R)} f(x) \mathrm{d}x \ . \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the generating function identity (71) valid for $|z| < 1$.

Assume now that z is real and $0 < z < 1$. The integral above can be calculated explicitly by using the Euler substitution $u = \sqrt{1 - 2zx + z^2}$:

$$\begin{cases} x = \dfrac{z^2 + 1 - u^2}{2z} \\ dx = -\dfrac{u}{z} du \\ u_1 = 1 + z \\ u_2 = 1 - z \end{cases}$$

The mapping $x = x(u)$ is strictly decreasing from the interval $[1-z, 1+z]$ onto $[-1, 1]$. Simple algebraic manipulations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{4(1-x^2)}{R(1-z+R)(1+z+R)} \log\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{1-z}^{1+z} \frac{(u+z-1)(z+1-u)}{z^3} \log\left(\frac{(z+1+u)(z+1-u)}{(u-z+1)(u+z-1)}\right) \mathrm{d}u \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left[\frac{z^2(u-1) - \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3}{z^3} \log\left(\frac{(z+1+u)(z+1-u)}{(u-z+1)(u+z-1)}\right)\right]_{1-z+\varepsilon}^{1+z-\varepsilon} \\
&\quad - \int_{1-z}^{1+z} \frac{z^2(u-1) - \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3}{z^3} \frac{8uz}{(u^2-(z+1)^2)(u^2-(1-z)^2)} \mathrm{d}u \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The first term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\frac{z^2(u-1) - \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3}{z^3} \log\left(\frac{(z+1+u)(z+1-u)}{(u-z+1)(u+z-1)}\right)\right]_{1-z+\varepsilon}^{1+z-\varepsilon} \\
&= \frac{z^2(z-\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{3}(z-\varepsilon)^3}{z^3} \left(\log\left(\frac{(2+2z-\varepsilon)\varepsilon}{(2-\varepsilon)(2z-\varepsilon)}\right) + \log\left(\frac{(2+\varepsilon)(2z-\varepsilon)}{(2-2z+\varepsilon)\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{z^2(z-\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{3}(z-\varepsilon)^3}{z^3} \log\left(\frac{(2+\varepsilon)(2+2z-\varepsilon)}{(2-\varepsilon)(2-2z+\varepsilon)}\right) \to \frac{2}{3}\ln\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The integrand in the second term has the partial fraction decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{8}{3z^2} - \frac{2z^3-12z}{3z^3}\left(\frac{1}{u+z+1} + \frac{1}{u-z+1}\right) \\
&+ \frac{8}{3z^3}\left(\frac{1}{u+z+1} - \frac{1}{u-z+1}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{u+z-1} + \frac{1}{u-z-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{1-z}^{1+z} \frac{z^2(u-1) - \frac{1}{3}(u-1)^3}{z^3} \frac{8uz}{(u^2-(z+1)^2)(u^2-(z-1)^2)} \mathrm{d}u \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left[\frac{8}{3z^2}u + \frac{2z^3-12z}{3z^3}\log((u+z+1)(u-z+1)) \right. \\
&\left. -\frac{8}{3z^3}\log\left(\frac{u+z+1}{u-z+1}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\log((u+z-1)(u-z-1))\right]_{1-z+\varepsilon}^{1+z-\varepsilon} \\
&= \frac{16}{3z} + \frac{2z^3-12z}{3z^3}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) - \frac{8}{3z^3}\log\left((1+z)(1-z)\right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two expressions above we get

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{4}{R(1-z+R)(1+z+R)} f(x)\mathrm{d}x \tag{77}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3z^3}\left[4z^2 + (z^3-3z)\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) - 2\log\left((1+z)(1-z)\right)\right] \ , \tag{78}$$

for $z \in (0,1)$. With the proper choice of the branches of the logarithms this represents a holomorphic function on the punctured disk $0 < |z| < 1$. The Laurent series expansion of

the right hand side at $z = 0$ can be written down explicitly:

$$\frac{4}{3z^3}\left[4z^2 + (z^3 - 3z)\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) - 2\log\left((1+z)(1-z)\right)\right] = 8\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)(2k+3)(k+2)} \tag{79}$$

Thus this function has a removable singularity at $z = 0$ and it coincides with $g(z)$ on the interval $(0, 1)$. Therefore

$$g(z) = 8\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)(2k+3)(k+2)}\ , \tag{80}$$

which implies that $a_{2k} = 0$ and

$$a_{2k+1} = \frac{8}{(2k+1)(2k+3)(k+2)}\ . \tag{81}$$

□

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.

[2] N. Aguirre and M. Nikulin. Goodness-of-fit test for the family of logistic distributions. *Qüestiió (2)*, 18(3):317–335 (1995), 1994.

[3] T. W. Anderson and D. A. Darling. Asymptotic theory of certain "goodness of fit" criteria based on stochastic processes. *Ann. Math. Statistics*, 23:193–212, 1952.

[4] R. B. Ash and M. F. Gardner. *Topics in stochastic processes*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1975. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 27.

[5] S. Csörgő. Weighted correlation tests for scale families. *Test*, 11(1):219–248, 2002.

[6] S. Csörgő. Weighted correlation tests for location-scale families. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 38(7-9):753–762, 2003. Hungarian applied mathematics and computer applications.

[7] S. Csörgő and T. Szabó. Weighted correlation tests for gamma and lognormal families. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, 26(part II):337–356, 2003. Probastat '02. Part II.

[8] S. Csörgõ and T. Szabó. Weighted quantile correlation tests for Gumbel, Weibull and Pareto families. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 29(2):227–250, 2009.

[9] T. de Wet. Discussion of "contributions of empirical and quantile processes to the asymptotic theory of goodness-of-fit tests". *Test*, 9(1):74–79, 2000.

[10] T. de Wet. Goodness-of-fit tests for location and scale families based on a weighted L_2-Wasserstein distance measure. *Test*, 11(1):89–107, 2002.

[11] T. de Wet and J. H. Venter. Asymptotic distributions for quadratic forms with applications to tests of fit. *Ann. Statist.*, 1:380–387, 1973.

[12] P. Deheuvels and G. Martynov. Karhunen-Loève expansions for weighted Wiener processes and Brownian bridges via Bessel functions. In *High dimensional probability, III (Sandjberg, 2002)*, volume 55 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 57–93. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

[13] E. del Barrio, J. A. Cuesta-Albertos, and C. Matrán. Contributions of empirical and quantile processes to the asymptotic theory of goodness-of-fit tests. *Test*, 9(1):1–96, 2000. With discussion.

[14] E. del Barrio, J. A. Cuesta-Albertos, C. Matrán, and J. M. Rodríguez-Rodríguez. Tests of goodness of fit based on the L_2-Wasserstein distance. *Ann. Statist.*, 27(4):1230–1239, 1999.

[15] E. J. Gumbel. Ranges and midranges. *Ann. Math. Statistics*, 15:414–422, 1944.

[16] S. G. Meintanis. Goodness-of-fit tests for the logistic distribution based on empirical transforms. *Sankhyā*, 66(2):306–326, 2004.

[17] P.-F. Verhulst. Notice sur la loi que la population poursuit dans son accroissement. *Correspondance mathèmatique et physique*, 10:113–121, 1838.

[18] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A course of modern analysis. An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions: with an account of the principal transcendental functions*. Fourth edition. Reprinted. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1962.

[19] D. Zelterman and N. Balakrishnan. Univariate generalized distributions. In *Handbook of the logistic distribution*, volume 123 of *Statist. Textbooks Monogr.*, pages 209–221. Dekker, New York, 1992.

SISSA (Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati)
Current address: via Bonomea, 265, Trieste, Italy
E-mail address: fbalogh@sissa.it

Department of Mathematics, College of Kecskemét
Current address: Izsáki út 10, Kecskemét, Hungary–6000
E-mail address: osztenyine.eva@gamf.kefo.hu